## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/509,469	IDE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deborah Yee	1793	

	Deborah Yee	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. LED WITHIN TWO	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ul>	·	•	-	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 23 to 27. Claim(s) objected to: 28 to 34. Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.	The entered and an e.	planation of	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	, , , , ,	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:				
	/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1793			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 28 to 34 are objected to because they are not amended according to examiner's recommendation in office action dated November 2, 2008 whereby preamble needs to actively recite ---The method for producing fuel cell--- rather than "The method for producing a metallic material for fuel cells".

Applicant stated new claim 28 includes the subject matter of claims 10 and 14. It is the examiner's position to distinguish claims over prior art, it is recommended to use language such as ---A method for producing fuel cell comprising--- with the finishing step of --- pressing the resulting metallic material to form fuel cell ---..

Applicant stated that new claim 31 contains the subject matter of original claims 1 and 16. Thus, claim 31 is claim 16 rewritten into independent form. It is the examiner's position that claim 16 depends on claim 10 not on claim 1. Therefore claim 31 should be rewritten with the subject matter of original claims 10 and 16. Accordingly claim 31 should be amended as "A method for producing fuel cell comprising--- with the fiinishing step of ---corrugating the metallic material to form fuel cell ---.